arXiv:1410.2875v2 [math.OA] 30 Mar 2015

WEAK AMENABILITY FOR SUBFACTORS

by Arnaud Brothier[a]

Abstract. We define the notions of weak amenability and the Cowling-Haagerup constant for extremal finite index subfactors of type II_1. We prove that the Cowling-Haagerup constant only depends on the standard invariant of the subfactor. Hence, we define the Cowling-Haagerup constant for standard invariants. We explicitly compute the constant for Bisch-Haagerup subfactors and prove that it is equal to the constant of the group involved in the construction. Given a finite family of amenable standard invariants, we prove that their free product in the sense of Bisch-Jones is weakly amenable with constant 1. We show that the Cowling-Haagerup constant of the tensor product of a finite family of standard invariants is equal to the product of their Cowling-Haagerup constants.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 46L07, 46L37.

Introduction and main results

Jones initiated subfactors theory and discovered the celebrated Jones Polynomial for knots [32, 34, 33]. Subfactors theory is connected to many other areas of mathematics and physics such as topological quantum field theory [18], conformal field theory [17], statistical mechanics [35], and so on. Given a subfactor $N \subset M$ (a unital inclusion of factors of type II_1 with finite index), Jones associated a combinatorial invariant $\mathcal{G}_{N,M}$ called the standard invariant. It has been axiomatized as a paragroup by Ocneanu [43], as a λ-lattice by Popa [52], and as a planar algebra by Jones [30]. One can extract a rigid C*-tensor category from a standard invariant. Exotic fusion categories have been constructed using this process, see the survey [36]. Popa proved that any standard invariant can be obtained via a subfactor, see [48, 52, 55]. Popa and Shlyakhtenko proved in [58] that this subfactor can be constructed as a subfactor of the free group factor with infinitely many generators $L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$. Later on, those results have been proved using planar algebras and free probability techniques in [23, 37, 24, 28] and independently in [39].

Popa initiated the study of analytic properties of subfactors [50, 49, 54]. Such properties are relevant for infinite depth subfactors. The main examples of infinite depth subfactors are the Temperley-Lieb-Jones subfactors [32], the diagonal subfactor [49] the Bisch-Haagerup subfactors [2], and free product of subfactors [4, 3] such as the Fuss-Catalan subfactors. Popa defined the notion of amenability and strong amenability for subfactors and proved that a strongly amenable subfactor is completely described by its standard invariant [49]. This generalized the Reconstruction Theorem of Ocneanu for finite depth standard invariants [43]. Later on, he defined the notion of property (T) for subfactors [54]. Bisch and Popa provided examples of such subfactors in [6]. In [5], Bisch et al. used this notion to prove that there exists uncountably many pairwise non-isomorphic hyperfinite subfactors at index 6 with the same standard invariant. In [8], using a spectral gap argument, the author and Vaes showed that there exists families of hyperfinite subfactors at index 6 with the same standard invariant that are non-classifiable by countable structures. Note that random walks on fusion algebras associated to subfactors have been studied by Bisch and Haagerup in [2] and by Hiai and Izumi in [29].

Given an extremal subfactor $N \subset M$ of type II_1, Ocneanu associated to it the asymptotic inclusion $M \vee (M' \cap M_\infty) \subset M_\infty$, where M_∞ is the enveloping algebra of the Jones tower [43].

[a]Vanderbilt University, Department of Mathematics, 1326 Stevenson Center Nashville, TN, 37212, USA, arnaud.brothier@vanderbilt.edu

This is analogous to the quantum double in the context of subfactors [15]. Longo and Rehren gave another construction of quantum doubles for type III subfactors [41]. Popa defined the symmetric enveloping inclusion of an extremal finite index subfactor $N \subset M$ of type II_1 [51]. If $N \subset M$ is a hyperfinite subfactor of type II_1 with finite depth, then the Popa's symmetric enveloping inclusion and the Ocneanu's asymptotic inclusion are isomorphic. The symmetric enveloping inclusion is particularly adapted to the study infinite depth subfactors. Property (T) and amenability of a subfactor can be defined as a co-property of the symmetric enveloping inclusion [54]. Moreover, those properties only depends on the standard invariant of the subfactor. Popa's symmetric enveloping inclusion can be constructed with a category of bimodules in a similar way than the Longo-Rehren inclusion, see [42]. Later on, Curran et al. constructed in [12] a symmetric enveloping inclusion directly from a standard invariant by using planar algebras.

The notion of weak amenability for locally compact groups has been introduced by Cowling and Haagerup in [11]. We remind the definition for countable discrete groups. A countable discrete group G is weakly amenable if there exists a sequence of finitely supported maps $f_n : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that converges pointwise to 1 and such that $\limsup_n \|f_n\|_{\mathrm{cb}} < \infty$. The Cowling-Haagerup constant of G is the infimum of $\limsup_n \|f_n\|_{\mathrm{cb}} < \infty$ for such sequences and is denoted by $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(G)$. Amenable groups are weakly amenable. Classical examples of weakly amenable groups are lattices in simple real rank one Lie groups [11], hyperbolic groups [44], or $CAT(0)$-cubical groups [22]. Analogous properties and constants for C*-algebras and von Neumann algebras have been defined by Haagerup and are called respectively by the completely bounded approximation property (CBAP) and the weak* completely bounded approximation property (W*-CBAP) [25]. Those notions play an important role in classification of group von Neumann algebras and in Popa's deformation/rigidity theory [11, 57]. Note, the notion of weak amenability has been defined and studied for Kac algebras and locally compact quantum groups [40, 38, 20, 21, 14].

In the present article, we define and study the notion of weak amenability and Cowling-Haagerup constant for extremal finite index subfactors of type II_1. This is done by following the idea of Popa and his definition of property (T) for subfactors. In section 1, we introduce our setup and recall classical notations. In section 2, we prove that the Cowling-Haagerup constant only depends on the standard invariant of the subfactor. We prove it by adapting a strategy due to Popa [54, Section 9]. This allows us to define the Cowling-Haagerup constant of a standard invariant. In section 3, we compute the Cowling-Haagerup constant of a diagonal subfactor, which is equal to the Cowling-Haagerup constant of the group involved. This shows that our notion of weak amenability coincides with the classical notion for finitely generated groups. In section 4, we show that the Cowling-Haagerup constant of a Bisch-Haagerup subfactor is equal to the Cowling-Haagerup constant of the group involved. We follow a strategy due to Bisch and Popa that we adapt to the context of completely bounded maps [6]. In section 5, we consider free product of standard invariants defined by Bisch and Jones [4, 3]. Given a finite family of amenable standard invariants, we prove that their free product is a weakly amenable standard invariant with constant 1. We use a description of the symmetric enveloping inclusion due to Masuda [42] and some results due to Ricard and Xu [59]. In section 6, we prove that the Cowling-Haagerup constant of the tensor product of a finite family of standard invariants is equal to the product of their Cowling-Haagerup constants. The proof is similar than the one for groups given by Cowling and Haagerup [11].

Acknowledgement. I express my gratitude to Dietmar Bisch for many useful discussions and encouragements. I thank Stefaan Vaes for helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. I also thank Vaughan Jones, Jesse Peterson, and Jacqueline Kirby for stimulating discussions.

1. Notations and setup

In the article, any finite index subfactor is assumed to be of type II_1 and extremal. Any standard invariants will be supposed to be extremal as well. Let $N \subset M$ be a finite index subfactor. We consider its Jones tower

$$N \subset M \subset M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \cdots,$$

where $N = M_{-1}$ and $M = M_0$. We write the Jones projections $e_j, j \geqslant 1$ such that $e_N = e_1$ and $M_{j+1} = \{M_j, e_{j+1}\}''$. We denote the standard invariant of $N \subset M$ by $\mathcal{G}_{N,M}$, or simply $\mathcal{G}$ if the context is clear. Let $\Gamma_{N,M}$ be the dual principal graph of $N \subset M$, where the even vertices K are in bijection with the isomorphism classes of irreducible M-bimodules that appear in the Jones tower. The symmetric enveloping inclusion associated to $N \subset M$ is denoted by

$$M \vee M^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M \boxtimes_{e_N} M^{\mathrm{op}},$$

or simply by $T \subset S$. We fix a tunnel for $N \subset M$ that we denote by

$$M_0 \supset M_{-1} \supset M_{-2} \supset M_{-3} \supset \cdots,$$

and continue to denote by $e_j \in M_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Jones projections for positive or negative integers. Following [54, Lemma 1.4], we fix an embedding of $\bigcup_j M_j$ and $\bigcup_j M_j^{\mathrm{op}}$ in S via the choice of the tunnel.

2. The Cowling-Haagerup constant for subfactors and standard invariants

In the article, any inclusion of tracial von Neumann algebras will be supposed to be unital and tracial.

Definition 2.1. Consider an inclusion of tracial von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{N} \subset (\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. A completely bounded approximation of the identity (CBAI) for $\mathcal{N} \subset (\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with constant C is a sequence of maps

$$\varphi_l : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M},\ l \geqslant 0$$

such that

(1) φ_l is normal,
(2) φ_l is a $\mathcal{N}$-bimodular map,
(3) the range of φ_l is a bifinite $\mathcal{N}$-bimodule, i.e. it is finitely generated as a left $\mathcal{N}$-module and as a right $\mathcal{N}$-module,
(4) $\|\varphi_l(x) - x\|_2 \longrightarrow_l 0$, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, and
(5) $\limsup_l \|\varphi_l\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leqslant C$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$ denote the completely bounded norm.

The next definition is inspired by Popa's definition of property (T) for subfactors [54].

Definition 2.2. Consider a subfactor $N \subset M$ and its associated symmetric enveloping inclusion $T \subset S$. The subfactor $N \subset M$ is weakly amenable if there exists a CBAI with a finite constant C for $T \subset S$. The Cowling-Haagerup constant of $N \subset M$ is the lower bound of the constants C such that $T \subset S$ admits a CBAI with constant C. We denote it by

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M).$$

It $N \subset M$ is not weakly amenable we write $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) = \infty$.

Remark 2.3. Popa introduced the notion of amenability for subfactors and standard invariants in [50, 49, 54]. A subfactor $N \subset M$ is amenable if N and M are the hyperfinite II_1 factor (the subfactor is hyperfinite) and the index is equal to the square of the norm of the principal

graph, i.e. $[M : N] = \|\Gamma_{N,M}\|^2$. Popa showed that the later condition is equivalent to the following approximation property, see [56, Remark 3.5.4]. Let $T \subset S$ be the symmetric enveloping inclusion of $N \subset M$. There exists a sequence of maps

$$\varphi_l : S \longrightarrow S,\ l \geqslant 0$$

such that

(1) φ_l is normal,
(2) φ_l is a T-bimodular map,
(3) $\varphi_l(\mathcal{S})$ is a bifinite T-bimodule,
(4) there exists a constant A such that $\tau \circ \varphi_l \leqslant A\tau$, where τ is the unique normal faithful trace on S,
(5) $\|\varphi_l(x) - x\|_2 \longrightarrow_l 0$, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, and
(6) φ_l is unital and completely positive.

In particular, an amenable subfactor is weakly amenable with constant 1.

In the rest of this section, we prove that $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M)$ only depends on the standard invariant of $N \subset M$. We adapt a proof of Popa for completely bounded maps [54, Section 9]. The next proposition recall some properties due to Popa of the symmetric enveloping inclusion.

Proposition 2.4. [54, Theorem 4.5] *Let $N \subset M$ be a subfactor and let $T \subset S$ be its associated symmetric enveloping inclusion. Then $T \subset S$ is an irreducible subfactor of type II_1.*

Denote by $\{H_k,\ k \in K\}$ the set of irreducible M-bimodules indexed by the even vertices of the dual graph of $N \subset M$. Let K_n be the vertices at distance smaller than $2n$ from the root of the graph. Then for any $k \in K_n$, there exists $v_k \in M'_{-n} \cap M_n \cap S$ such that $L^2(spanTv_kT)$ is isomorphic to the T-bimodule $H_k \otimes \overline{H}_k^{op}$. Further, we have the following decomposition of T-bimodules

$$L^2(S) = \sum_{k\in K} L^2(spanTv_kT) \simeq_{T-T} \bigoplus_{k\in K} H_k \otimes \overline{H}_k^{op}.$$

The spaces $L^2(spanTv_kT)$ are irreducible T-bimodules and are pairwise non-isomorphic. We write s_k the orthogonal projection from $L^2(S)$ onto $L^2(spanTv_kT)$.

The next proposition gives a useful characterization of T-bimodular maps.

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose the same assumptions as in Proposition 2.4. Let $a_k := s_k|_S$ be the restriction of the orthogonal projection s_k to S.*

(1) *Then, the range of a_k is contained in S and is equal to $S \cap L^2(spanTv_kT)$.*
(2) *Let $\varphi : S \longrightarrow S$ be a T-bimodular map such that $\varphi(S)$ is a bifinite T-bimodule Then φ is normal and belongs to the vector space generated by the set $\{a_k,\ k \in K\}$. We write $c_\varphi : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the unique scalar valued function that satisfies*

$$\varphi = \sum_{k\in K} c_\varphi(k)a_k.$$

(3) *The symmetric enveloping inclusion $T \subset S$ admits a CBAI with constant C if and only if there exists a sequence of finitely supported scalar valued functions $(c_l,\ l \geqslant 0)$ such that*
   (a) *$c_l(k) \longrightarrow_l 1$, for any $k \in K$, and*
   (b) *$\limsup_l \|\sum_{k\in K} c_l(k)a_k\|_{cb} = C$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : S \longrightarrow S$ be a T-bimodular map such that its image is a bifinite T-bimodule. Consider the algebraic T-bimodule $\mathcal{V}_k := L^2(spTv_kT) \cap S$, where $k \in K$. The $\mathcal{V}_k$ are pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible T-bimodules. Hence the space of intertwiners between $\mathcal{V}_k$ and $\mathcal{V}_l$ is trivial if $k \neq l$ and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ if $k = l$. By [19, Proof of Lemma 5.17], we have that $s_k(S) = \mathcal{V}_k$. Therefore, $s_k \circ \varphi \circ s_l|_S$ is a T-bimodular map between $\mathcal{V}_l$ and $\mathcal{V}_k$. Hence, this

map is identically equal to zero if $k \neq l$. If $k = l$ there exists a scalar $c_\varphi(k) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $s_k \circ \varphi \circ s_k|_S = c_\varphi(k) s_k|_S$. Let $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi)$ be the set of $k \in K$ such that $c_\varphi(k) \neq 0$. By assumption, the image of φ is a bifinite T-bimodule. Hence, $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi)$ is finite and we get

$$\varphi = \sum_{k \in \mathrm{supp}(\varphi)} c_\varphi(k) s_k|_S.$$

The rest of the proposition follows. □

Remark 2.6. Proposition 2.5 implies that a subfactor $N \subset M$ is amenable if and only it is a hyperfinite subfactor and there exists a sequence of finitely supported scalar valued functions $(c_l,\ l \geqslant 0)$ such that

(1) $c_l(k) \longrightarrow_l 1$, for any $k \in K$, and
(2) $\sum_{k \in K} c_l(k) a_k : S \longrightarrow S$ is a completely positive map,

where $T \subset S$ is the symmetric enveloping inclusion associated to $N \subset M$ and K is the set vertices of the dual principal graph of $N \subset M$. By considering $c_l(k_0)^{-1} c_l$, where k_0 is the index of the trivial T-bimodule $L^2(T)$, we can always assume that an amenable subfactor admits a sequence of unital completely positive maps that preserve the unique normal trace-preserving conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}_T : S \longrightarrow T$, and satisfies the assumptions of Remark 2.6.

Theorem 2.7. *Consider two finite index subfactors $N \subset M$ and $\tilde{N} \subset \tilde{M}$ with isomorphic standard invariants. Then*

$$\Lambda_{cb}(N, M) = \Lambda_{cb}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{M}).$$

Proof. **Step 1.** *We assume that we have a non-degenerate commuting square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{N} & \subset & \tilde{M} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ N & \subset & M \end{array},$$

such that $N' \cap M_n = \tilde{N}' \cap \tilde{M}_n$ for any $n \geqslant 0$.

Let us show that $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{M}) \leqslant \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M)$. Let $\{M_j,\ j \leqslant 0\}$ be a tunnel for $N \subset M$. Denote by $\{e_j,\ j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ the set of Jones projection associated to the tunnel-tower of $N \subset M$. For any $j \leqslant -2$, consider the von Neumann algebra $\tilde{M}_j = \tilde{M} \cap \{e_{j+2}\}'$. This defines a tunnel for $\tilde{N} \subset \tilde{M}$ such that $\tilde{M}_i' \cap \tilde{M}_j = M_i' \cap M_j$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $T \subset S$ and $\tilde{T} \subset \tilde{S}$ the symmetric enveloping inclusions associated to $N \subset M$ and $\tilde{N} \subset \tilde{M}$ respectively. Those tunnels define inclusions of M_j in S and $\tilde{M}_j$ in $\tilde{S}$ for any j such that we have a commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M}_j & \subset & \tilde{S} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ M_j & \subset & S \end{array}.$$

In particular, we have equality of the relative commutants $\tilde{M}_i' \cap \tilde{M}_j = M_i' \cap M_j$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ as subalgebras of $\tilde{S}$. Consider a CBAI $(\varphi_l,\ l \geqslant 0)$ for $T \subset S$ with constant $C = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M)$. We fix a natural number l. We want to construct a normal $\tilde{T}$-bimodular map $\tilde{\varphi}_l : \tilde{S} \longrightarrow \tilde{S}$ such that $\|\tilde{\varphi}_l\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \|\varphi_l\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_l|_S = \varphi_l$. We drop the subscript l and consider a single map $\varphi := \varphi_l$. Consider the commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{T} & \subset & \tilde{S} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ T & \subset & S \end{array}, \tag{1}$$

the Jones projection $e : L^2(\tilde{S}) \longrightarrow L^2(S)$, and the basic construction $\langle \tilde{S}, e \rangle$. We denote by Tr the trace, possibly semi-finite, of the basic construction $\langle \tilde{S}, e \rangle$.

Let us show that the commuting square (1) is non-degenerate. Proposition 2.4 implies that the von Neumann algebra $\tilde{S}$ is generated by $\tilde{T}$ and the family of relatives commutants $\tilde{M}'_{-n} \cap M_n$ for $n \geqslant 0$. Note, by construction, for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have equality of the relative commutants $\tilde{M}'_i \cap \tilde{M}_j = M'_i \cap M_j$ as subalgebras of $\tilde{S}$. Therefore, $\tilde{S}$ is generated by $\tilde{T}$ and the family of relatives commutants $M'_{-n} \cap M_n,\ n \geqslant 0$ which are contained in S. Therefore, the commuting square (1) is non-degenerate.

We fix an orthonormal basis $\{m_j,\ j \in J\} \subset \tilde{T}$ of $\tilde{T}$ over T. This means that for any $x \in \tilde{T}$ we have $x = \sum_{j \in J} \mathbb{E}_T(xm_j)m_j^*$ and for any $i, j \in J$ we have $\mathbb{E}_T(m_i^* m_j)\delta_{i,j} f_i$, where f_i is a projection, $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker symbol and $\mathbb{E}_T$ is the unique normal trace-preserving conditional expectation from $\tilde{T}$ onto T. Note that $\{m_j,\ j \in J\} \subset \tilde{T}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\tilde{S}$ over S. Indeed, since the square (1) is commuting we automatically obtain the first assumption in the definition of an orthonormal basis. Since the square (1) is commuting and non-degenerate we also fulfill the second assumption of the definition of an orthonormal basis. Hence, for any $x \in \langle \tilde{S}, e \rangle$ there exists a unique collection $\{x_{ij} \in S,\ i, j \in J\}$ such that

$$x = \sum_{i,j \in J} m_i e x_{ij} m_j^*.$$

Consider the map

$$\phi : \langle \tilde{S}, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \tilde{S}, e \rangle,\ x = \sum_{i,j \in J} m_i e x_{ij} m_j^* \longmapsto \sum_{i,j \in J} m_i e \varphi(x_{ij}) m_j^*.$$

This is a normal $\langle \tilde{T}, e \rangle$-bimodular map.

We claim that ϕ extends the map φ. By Proposition 2.5, there exists a map $c : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\varphi = \sum_{k \in K} c(k) a_k$. The maps ϕ and φ are normal and T-bimodular. Hence, it is sufficient to prove that $\phi(v_k) = \varphi(v_k) = c(k) v_k$ for any $k \in K$. Let us fix $k \in K$. There exists $n \geqslant 0$ such that $k \in K_n$, where K_n is the set of even vertices of the dual principal graph at distance smaller or equal to $2n$ from the root of the graph. Let $\{m_j^k,\ j \in J_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\tilde{M}_{-n} \vee \tilde{M}_{-n}^{\mathrm{op}}$ over $M_{-n} \vee M_{-n}^{\mathrm{op}}$, where all such von Neumann algebras are viewed inside $\tilde{T}$. Consider the commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M}_{-n} \vee \tilde{M}_{-n}^{\mathrm{op}} & \subset & \tilde{T} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ M_{-n} \vee M_{-n}^{\mathrm{op}} & \subset & T \end{array} . \tag{2}$$

Let us show that (2) is non-degenerate. By construction, the commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M}_{-n} & \subset & \tilde{M} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ M_{-n} & \subset & M \end{array}$$

is non-degenerate. Therefore, the following commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{M}_{-n} \overline{\otimes} \tilde{M}_{-n}^{\mathrm{op}} & \subset & \tilde{M} \overline{\otimes} \tilde{M}^{\mathrm{op}} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ M_{-n} \overline{\otimes} M_{-n}^{\mathrm{op}} & \subset & M \overline{\otimes} M^{\mathrm{op}} \end{array}$$

is non-degenerate as well. But this commuting square is isomorphic to (2). So we are done. Since (1) and (2) are non-degenerate commuting squares we obtain that $\{m_j^k,\ j \in J_k\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\tilde{S}$ over S. The vector v_k belongs to $M'_{-n} \cap M_n$. By [54, Proposition 2.6.b], we have the equality

$$(\tilde{M}_{-n} \vee \tilde{M}_{-n}^{\mathrm{op}})' \cap \tilde{S} = \tilde{M}_n^{\mathrm{op}} \cap \tilde{M}_n.$$

Therefore, v_k commutes with the whole basis $\{m_j^k,\ j \in J_k\}$. Following the proof of [54, Lemma 9.2], we obtain that ϕ does not depend on the choice of the orthonormal basis $\{m_j,\ j \in J\} \subset \tilde{T}$

of $\tilde{T}$ over T. Denote by $\mathbb{E}_S$ (resp. $\mathbb{E}_{\tilde{T}}$) the normal trace-preserving conditional expectation from $\tilde{S}$ onto S (resp. onto $\tilde{T}$). We have,

$$\begin{aligned} v_k &= \sum_{i,j\in J_k} m_i^k \mathbb{E}_S(m_i^{k^*} v_k m_j^k) e m_j^{k^*}, \\ &= \sum_{i,j\in J_k} m_i^k v_k \mathbb{E}_S(m_i^{k^*} m_j^k) e m_j^{k^*} \text{ because } [v_k, m_i^k] = 0, \\ &= \sum_{i,j\in J_k} m_i^k v_k \mathbb{E}_T(m_i^{k^*} m_j^k) e m_j^{k^*} \text{ because } \mathbb{E}_T \circ \mathbb{E}_{\tilde{T}} = \mathbb{E}_T. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(v_k) &= \phi(\sum_{i,j\in J_k} m_i^k v_k \mathbb{E}_T(m_i^{k^*} m_j^k) e m_j^{k^*}), \\ &= \sum_{i,j\in J_k} m_i^k \varphi(v_k \mathbb{E}_T(m_i^{k^*} m_j^k)) e m_j^{k^*} \\ &= \sum_{i,j\in J_k} m_i^k c(k) v_k \mathbb{E}_T(m_i^{k^*} m_j^k) e m_j^{k^*} \\ &= c(k) v_k = \varphi(v_k). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.

Let us show that $\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$. By the Stinespring Dilation Theorem [9, Theorem B7, p347], there exists a normal representation $L_\varphi : S \longrightarrow B(H_\varphi)$ and two continuous linear maps $v_\varphi, w_\varphi : L^2(S) \longrightarrow H_\varphi$ such that for any $y \in S$

$$\varphi(y) = v_\varphi^* L_\varphi(y) w_\varphi$$

and

$$\|v_\varphi\| = \|w_\varphi\| = \sqrt{\|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}}.$$

Consider the Hilbert space $\tilde{H}_\varphi := \ell^2(J) \otimes \ell^2(J) \otimes H_\varphi$ and the densely defined continuous linear maps

$$\tilde{v}_\varphi : L^2(\langle \tilde{S}, e\rangle, Tr) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_\varphi,\ \widehat{\sum_{i,j\in J} m_i e x_{ij} m_j^*} \longmapsto \sum_{i,j\in J} \delta_i \otimes \delta_j \otimes v_\varphi(\widehat{x_{ij}})$$

and

$$\tilde{w}_\varphi : L^2(\langle \tilde{S}, e\rangle, Tr) \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_\varphi,\ \widehat{\sum_{i,j\in J} m_i e x_{ij} m_j^*} \longmapsto \sum_{i,j\in J} \delta_i \otimes \delta_j \otimes w_\varphi(\widehat{x_{ij}}),$$

where $\widehat{z}$ denote the image of an element of $\langle \tilde{S}, e\rangle$ with finite L^2-norm in $L^2(\langle \tilde{S}, e\rangle, Tr)$. Consider the following normal representation

$$\tilde{L}_\varphi : \langle \tilde{S}, e\rangle \longrightarrow B(\tilde{H}_\varphi),\ x \longmapsto \sum_{i,j\in J} e_{ij} \otimes 1 \otimes L_\varphi(x_{ij}),$$

where e_{ij} is the partial isometry of $\ell^2(J)$ that sends δ_j to δ_i and $x = \sum_{i,j\in J} m_i e x_{ij} m_j^* \in \langle \tilde{S}, e\rangle$. One can check that $\phi(x) = \tilde{v}_\varphi^* \tilde{L}_\varphi(x) \tilde{w}_\varphi$, for any $x \in \langle \tilde{S}, e\rangle$. Hence, ϕ is completely bounded and

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leqslant \|\tilde{w}_\varphi\|.\|\tilde{v}_\varphi\| = \|w_\varphi\|.\|v_\varphi\| = \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}.$$

The maps ϕ and φ coincides on S. Hence, we have $\|\phi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$.

Recall that $\varphi = \sum_{k\in K} c(k) a_k$. Observe, the restriction $\tilde{\varphi} = \phi|_{\tilde{S}}$ of ϕ to $\tilde{S}$ is equal to $\sum_{k\in K} c(k)\tilde{a}_k$, where $\tilde{a}_k$ is the identity map of $L^2(\mathrm{span}\tilde{T}v_k\tilde{T}) \cap \tilde{S}$. In particular, $\tilde{\varphi}(\tilde{S})$ is contained in $\tilde{S}$. Therefore, $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{S} \longrightarrow \tilde{S}$ is a completely bounded $\tilde{T}$-bimodular map, the $\tilde{T}$-bimodule $\varphi(\tilde{S})$

is bifinite, and $\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{\rm cb} = \|\varphi\|_{\rm cb}$. Hence, if $(\varphi_l,\ l \geqslant 0)$ is a CBAI with constant C for $T \subset S$, then $(\tilde{\varphi_l},\ l \geqslant 0)$ is a CBAI with constant C for $\tilde{T} \subset \tilde{S}$. We obtain, $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{M}) \leqslant \Lambda_{\rm cb}(N, M)$.

Consider a CBAI $(\phi_l)_l$ for $\tilde{T} \subset \tilde{S}$ such that $\limsup_l \|\phi_l\|_{\rm cb} = \Lambda_{\rm cb}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{M})$. We define $\varphi_l := \mathbb{E}_S \circ \phi_l|_S$, where $\mathbb{E}_S : \tilde{S} \longrightarrow S$ is the unique normal trace-preserving conditional expectation. We have $\|\varphi_l\|_{\rm cb} \leqslant \|\phi_l\|_{\rm cb}$ for any $l \geqslant 0$. The maps φ_l and ϕ_l have the same scalar valued function $c_l : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ because they coincides on the vector space generated by the set $\{v_k,\ k \in K\}$. Therefore by Proposition 2.5, the sequence $(\varphi_l)_l$ defines a CBAI for $T \subset S$ such that $\limsup_l \|\varphi_l\|_{\rm cb} \leqslant \Lambda_{\rm cb}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{M})$. We get $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\rm cb}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{M})$.

Step 2. *We prove the general case.* We follow the proof of [54, Theorem 9.5]. Let $N \subset M$ and $\tilde{N} \subset \tilde{M}$ be finite index subfactors with isomorphic standard invariants. Let $\omega \in \beta\mathbb{N} - \mathbb{N}$ be a non-principal ultrafilter and let N^ω and M^ω be the ultrapowers of N and M respectively. We have a non-degenerate commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N^\omega & \subset & M^\omega \\ \cup & & \cup \\ N & \subset & M \end{array}.$$

For any $n \geqslant 0$ we have $N' \cap M_n = (N^\omega)' \cap (M^\omega)_n$ by [47, Proposition 1.10]. Hence, by Step 1, we have $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\rm cb}(N^\omega, M^\omega)$. Via the Reconstruction Theorem of Popa [48, 52, 55], we associate to $\mathcal{G}$ a subfactor $N^{\mathcal{G}}(R) \subset M^{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ which is constructed with the hyperfinite II_1 factor R and the standard invariant $\mathcal{G}$. By [53], there exists an embedding of $M^{\mathcal{G}}(R)$ in M^ω which defines a non-degenerate commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N^\omega & \subset & M^\omega \\ \cup & & \cup \\ N^{\mathcal{G}}(R) & \subset & M^{\mathcal{G}}(R) \end{array}$$

and such that for any $n \geqslant 0$ we have $(N^{\mathcal{G}}(R))' \cap (M^{\mathcal{G}}(R))_n = (N^\omega)' \cap (M^\omega)_n$. Hence, by Step 1, $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(N^\omega, M^\omega) = \Lambda_{\rm cb}(N^{\mathcal{G}}(R), M^{\mathcal{G}}(R))$. Therefore, $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\rm cb}(N^{\mathcal{G}}(R), M^{\mathcal{G}}(R))$. Similarly, we have $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{M}) = \Lambda_{\rm cb}(N^{\mathcal{G}}(R), M^{\mathcal{G}}(R))$. In conclusion, $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\rm cb}(\tilde{N}, \tilde{M})$. □

Definition 2.8. We say that a standard invariant $\mathcal{G}$ is weakly amenable if there exists a subfactor $N \subset M$ with standard invariant $\mathcal{G}$ which is weakly amenable. The Cowling-Haagerup constant of a weakly amenable standard invariant $\mathcal{G}$ is the Cowling-Haagerup constant of a subfactor $N \subset M$ with standard invariant $\mathcal{G}$. We denote $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{G})$ as this constant. We write $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{G}) = \infty$ if $\mathcal{G}$ is not weakly amenable.

3. Diagonal subfactors

Consider a II_1 factor P and an injective group morphism $\sigma : G \longrightarrow Out(P)$ of a finitely generated group $G = \langle g_1, \cdots, g_m \rangle$ in the outer automorphism group of P. Let $\tilde{\sigma} : G \longrightarrow Aut(P)$ be a section of σ in value in the automorphism group of P. Let $\{e_{ij},\ i, j = 0, \cdots, m\}$ be the canonical system of matrix units of the type I_{m+1} factor $\mathcal{M}_{m+1}(\mathbb{C})$. The diagonal subfactor associated to $\tilde{\sigma}$ and the generating set $\{g_1, \cdots, g_m\}$ is

$$\{\sum_{i=0}^{m} \tilde{\sigma}(g_i)(x) \otimes e_{ii},\ x \in P\} \subset P \otimes \mathcal{M}_{m+1}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}(g_0) = 1$. It is an extremal subfactor of type II_1 with index equal to $(m+1)^2$. We continue to denote by $\tilde{\sigma}$ the map from G to the automorphism group of $P \otimes \mathcal{M}_{m+1}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $\tilde{\sigma}(g)(x \otimes e_{ij}) = \tilde{\sigma}(g)(x) \otimes e_{ij}$ for $g \in G$, $x \in P$ and $0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant m$. Note that the group morphism $\sigma \otimes \sigma^{\rm op}$ has vanishing $H^3(G, \mathbb{T})$ cohomology obstruction by [31]. Then, it defines an outer action, possibly twisted by a cocycle, of G on $M \overline{\otimes} M^{\rm op}$ where $M = P \otimes \mathcal{M}_{m+1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 3.1. [54, Theorem 3.3] *Let $N \subset M$ be the subfactor described above and let $T \subset S$ be its symmetric enveloping inclusion. Then $T \subset S$ is isomorphic to*

$$M\overline{\otimes}M^{op} \subset M\overline{\otimes}M^{op} \rtimes_{\sigma\otimes\sigma^{op}} G.$$

Corollary 3.2. *Let $N \subset M$ be the subfactor as above. We have $\Lambda_{cb}(N, M) = \Lambda_{cb}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $(f_l)_l$ be a sequence of finitely supported maps that converge pointwise to 1 and such that $\limsup_l \|f_l\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(G)$. Consider the multiplier

$$\varphi_l := 1 \otimes m_{f_l} : S \longrightarrow S$$

defined as $\varphi_l(tu_g) = f_l(g)tu_g$, where $t \in M\overline{\otimes}M^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $g \in G$. Then $(\varphi_l)_l$ is clearly a CBAI for $M\overline{\otimes}M^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M\overline{\otimes}M^{\mathrm{op}} \rtimes_{\sigma\otimes\sigma^{\mathrm{op}}} G$ such that $\limsup_l \|\varphi_l\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(G)$. Hence, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) \leqslant \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(G)$. Let $(\varphi_l)_l$ be a CBAI with constant $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M)$ for $M\overline{\otimes}M^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M\overline{\otimes}M^{\mathrm{op}} \rtimes_{\sigma\otimes\sigma^{\mathrm{op}}} G$. Consider the map $f_l(g) := \tau(\varphi_l(u_g)u_g^*)$, and follow the strategy of [9, Theorem 12.3.10]. It follows that $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) \geqslant \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(G)$. We reach the conclusion of the corollary. □

Remark 3.3. Consider a hyperfinite subfactor $N \subset M$ and its associated symmetric enveloping inclusion $T \subset S$. Let $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(S)$ be the classical Cowling-Haagerup constant of the von Neumann algebra S, see [9, page 365]. It is important to notice that in general $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(S)$ is different from $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M)$ even if T is hyperfinite. We present an example of a hyperfinite subfactor $N \subset M$ such that $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) = 1$ and $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(S) = \infty$.

Consider the group von Neumann algebra $R := L(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2\times\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbb{F}_2$ is the free group with two generators. By the Fundamental Theorem of Connes [10], $L(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2\times\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the hyperfinite II_1 factor because the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2\times\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is amenable and has infinite conjugacy classes. Consider the Bernoulli action of $\mathbb{F}_2$ on $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2\times\mathbb{Z}}$. This induces an outer action θ of $\mathbb{F}_2$ on R. Let $N \subset M$ be a diagonal subfactor associated to the action θ and any finite generating set of the group $\mathbb{F}_2$. By Theorem 3.1, we have that the symmetric enveloping inclusion $T \subset S$ associated to $N \subset M$ is isomorphic to

$$M\overline{\otimes}M^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M\overline{\otimes}M^{\mathrm{op}} \rtimes_{\theta\otimes\theta^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathbb{F}_2.$$

Therefore, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ by Corollary 3.2. Hence, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) = 1$ by [26, 13, 7]. The symmetric enveloping algebra S is isomorphic to the group von Neumann algebra

$$L([(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2\times\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2\times\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z})] \rtimes \mathbb{F}_2).$$

Hence, $L(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2} \rtimes \mathbb{F}_2)$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of S. But the group $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2} \rtimes \mathbb{F}_2$ is not weakly amenable by [46, 45]. Recall the Cowling-Haagerup constant of a countable discrete group and the Cowling-Haagerup constant of its associated group von Neumann algebra coincides. Further, if $H < G$ is an inclusion of countable discrete groups, then $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(H) \leqslant \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(G)$. Therefore,

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(S) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}([(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2\times\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2\times\mathbb{Z}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z})] \rtimes \mathbb{F}_2) \geqslant \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{F}_2} \rtimes \mathbb{F}_2) = \infty \neq 1 = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M).$$

4. Bisch-Haagerup subfactors

We follow a similar strategy developed by Bisch and Popa in [6].

Proposition 4.1. *Consider a chain of II_1 factors $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that $I := [\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{Q}] < \infty$. Let $p \in \mathcal{N}$ be a non-zero projection. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *$\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ admits a CBAI with constant C.*
2. *$\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{M}$ admits a CBAI with constant C.*
3. *$p\mathcal{N}p \subset p\mathcal{M}p$ admits a CBAI with constant C.*

Proof. Proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $(\varphi_l)_l$ be a CBAI with constant C for $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$. By assumption φ_l is a $\mathcal{N}$-bimodular map and so is a $\mathcal{Q}$-bimodular map. The range of φ_l is a bifinite $\mathcal{N}$-bimodule and $[\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{Q}]$ is finite. Hence, the range of φ_l is a bifinite $\mathcal{Q}$-bimodule. Therefore, $(\varphi_l)_l$ defines a CBAI with constant C for $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

Proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let $(\varphi_l)_l$ be a CBAI with constant C for $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Consider a finite orthonormal basis $\{m_j,\ j \in J\} \subset \mathcal{N}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ over $\mathcal{Q}$, i.e. $\sum_{j\in J} m_j E_{\mathcal{Q}}(m_j^* x) = x$, for any $x \in \mathcal{N}$. Consider the map

$$\tilde{\varphi_l} : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M},\ x \longmapsto \frac{1}{I^2} \sum_{i,j\in J} m_i \varphi_l(m_i^* x m_j) m_j^*.$$

If $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $y \in \mathcal{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varphi_l}(yx) &= \frac{1}{I^2} \sum_{i,j\in J} m_i \varphi_l(m_i^* y x m_j) m_j^* \\
&= \frac{1}{I^2} \sum_{i,j,k\in J} m_i \varphi_l(E_Q(m_i^* y m_k) m_k^* x m_j) m_j^* \\
&= \frac{1}{I^2} \sum_{i,j,k\in J} m_i E_Q(m_i^* y m_k) \varphi_l(m_k^* x m_j) m_j^* \\
&= \frac{1}{I^2} \sum_{i,k\in J} y m_k \varphi_l(m_k^* x m_j) m_j^* = y\tilde{\varphi_l}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\tilde{\varphi_l}$ is a left $\mathcal{N}$-modular map and a similar computation on the right shows that $\tilde{\varphi_l}$ is a $\mathcal{N}$-bimodular map.

Let us show that $\|\tilde{\varphi_l}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leqslant \|\varphi_l\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$ for a fixed $l \geqslant 0$. By the Stinespring Dilation Theorem there exists a normal representation $\pi : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow B(H)$ and two continuous linear maps $v, w : L^2(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow H$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $\varphi_l(x) = v^*\pi(x)w$ and $\|v\| = \|w\| = \sqrt{\|\varphi_l\|_{\mathrm{cb}}}$. Consider the maps

$$\tilde{v} := \frac{1}{I} \sum_{j\in J} \pi(m_j) v m_j^* \text{ and } \tilde{w} := \frac{1}{I} \sum_{j\in J} \pi(m_j) w m_j^*.$$

We observe that $\tilde{\varphi_l}(x) = \tilde{v}^*\pi(x)\tilde{w}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore, $\|\tilde{\varphi_l}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leqslant \|\tilde{v}\|\|\tilde{w}\|$. Let us show that $\|\tilde{v}\| \leqslant \|v\|$. Consider the operator

$$v_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ v^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in B(H \oplus L^2(\mathcal{M})).$$

This is a self-adjoint operator. So its norm is equal to its spectral radius and we have

$$-\|v_2\|1 \leqslant v_2 \leqslant \|v_2\|1.$$

Note that $\|v_2\| = \|v\|$. Consider the operator

$$\tilde{v_2} := \frac{1}{I} \sum_{j\in J} \begin{pmatrix} \pi(m_j) & 0 \\ 0 & m_j \end{pmatrix} v_2 \begin{pmatrix} \pi(m_j) & 0 \\ 0 & m_j \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{v} \\ \tilde{v}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$-\|v\| \frac{1}{I} \sum_{j\in J} m_j m_j^* \leqslant \tilde{v_2} \leqslant \|v\| \frac{1}{I} \sum_{j\in J} m_j m_j^*.$$

But, $\frac{1}{I}\sum_{j\in J} m_j m_j^* = 1$. So $\|\tilde{v_2}\| \leqslant \|v\|$. But $\|\tilde{v_2}\| = \|\tilde{v}\|$. Hence, $\|\tilde{v}\| \leqslant \|v\|$. We get also that $\|\tilde{w}\| \leqslant \|w\|$. Therefore, $\|\tilde{\varphi_l}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leqslant \|v\|\|w\| = \|\varphi_l\|_{\mathrm{cb}}$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{M}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{\varphi}_l(x) - x\|_2 &= \|\frac{1}{I^2}\sum_{i,j\in J} m_i\varphi_l(m_i^* x m_j)m_j^* - x\|_2 \\ &= \|\frac{1}{I^2}\sum_{i,j\in J}(m_i\varphi_l(m_i^* x m_j)m_j^* - m_i m_i^* x m_j m_j^*)\|_2 \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{I^2}\sum_{i,j\in J}\|m_i\|\|m_j\|\|\varphi_l(m_i^* x m_j) - m_i^* x m_j\|_2 \longrightarrow_l 0.\end{aligned}$$

Because J is finite and the range of φ_l is a bifinite $\mathcal{Q}$-bimodule we have that the range of $\tilde{\varphi}_l$ is a bifinite $\mathcal{N}$-bimodule. Therefore, $(\tilde{\varphi}_l)_l$ defines a CBAI with constant C for $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$.

Proof of (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Consider $(\varphi_l)_l$ a CBAI with constant C for $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$. Define $(\phi_l)_l$, where ϕ_l is the restriction of ϕ_l to $p\mathcal{N}p$. By definition φ_l is a $\mathcal{N}$-bimodular map. Hence, for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $\varphi_l(pxp) = p\varphi(x)p$. Therefore, ϕ_l defines a map from $p\mathcal{M}p$ to $p\mathcal{M}p$. It is easy to see that $(\phi_l)_l$ is a CBAI with constant C for $p\mathcal{N}p \subset p\mathcal{M}p$.

Proof of (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Using the fact that (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3), it is sufficient to show that for any $n \geqslant 1$: if $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ admits a CBAI with constant C, then $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ admits a CBAI with constant C. Let $(\varphi_l)_l$ be a CBAI with constant C for $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$. One can check that $(\varphi_l \otimes 1_{\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})})_l$ is a CBAI with constant C for $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. □

Let $N \subset M$ be a subfactor together with a tunnel-tower $M_j,\ j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We recall a result due to Popa.

Lemma 4.2. [54, Proposition 2.9 and Proposition 2.10.a] *Let Q be an intermediate subfactor $M_i \subset Q \subset N$ where i is an integer. Then*

$$M \vee M^{op} \subset M \boxtimes_{e_N} M^{op} \text{ is isomorphic to } M \vee M^{op} \subset M \boxtimes_{e_Q} M^{op}.$$

Consider the Jones projection $e_1^{op} \in M_1^{op} \subset M_1 \boxtimes_{e_M} M_1^{op}$. Then

$$e_1^{op}(M_1 \vee M_1^{op})e_1^{op} \subset e_1^{op}(M_1 \boxtimes_{e_M} M_1^{op})e_1^{op} \text{ is isomorphic to } M_1 \vee N^{op} \subset M \boxtimes_{e_N} M^{op}.$$

Corollary 4.3. *Let Q and P be II_1 factors such that*

$$M_{i-1} \subset Q \subset M_i \subset M_j \subset P \subset M_{j+1}$$

for some integers $i < j$. Then $\Lambda_{cb}(Q, P) = \Lambda_{cb}(N, M)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, the compression of $M_1 \vee M_1^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M_1 \boxtimes_{e_M} M_1^{\mathrm{op}}$ by e_1^{op} is isomorphic to $M_1 \vee N^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M \boxtimes_{e_N} M^{\mathrm{op}}$. Observe that $M \vee N^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M_1 \vee N^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $M \vee N^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M \vee M^{\mathrm{op}}$ are both finite index subfactors (where everything is viewed in $M \boxtimes_{e_N} M^{\mathrm{op}}$). Hence, by Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(M, M_1). \tag{3}$$

Consider a II_1 factor $\tilde{Q}$ such that $M_r \subset \tilde{Q} \subset M_{k-1}$ for some integers $r < k$. By Lemma 4.2, the symmetric enveloping inclusions $M_k \vee M_k^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M_k \boxtimes_{e_{M_{k-1}}} M_k^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $M_k \vee M_k^{\mathrm{op}} \subset M_k \boxtimes_{e_{\tilde{Q}}} M_k^{\mathrm{op}}$ are isomorphic. Therefore, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\tilde{Q}, M_k) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(M_{k-1}, M_k)$. Depending on the parity of k, the standard invariant of $M_{k-1} \subset M_k$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_{N,M}$ or to $\mathcal{G}_{M,M_1}$. Therefore, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(M_{k-1}, M_k)$ is equal to $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M)$ or $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(M, M_1)$ by Theorem 2.7. So by (3) we have that $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(M_{k-1}, M_k) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M)$. Hence,

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\tilde{Q}, M_k), \text{ for any } r < k \text{ and } \tilde{Q} \text{ such that } M_r \subset \tilde{Q} \subset M_{k-1}. \tag{4}$$

Consider a downward basic construction $L \subset M_{i-1} \subset P$ such that $M_r \subset L$ for a certain r. We have $L \subset M_{i-2}$ because $[M_{i-1} : L] = [P : M_{i-1}] > [M_k : M_{k-1}] = [M : N]$. Hence, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(L, M_{i-1}) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M)$ by (4). So, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(M_{i-1}, P) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(L, M_{i-1})$ by (3). Therefore,

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(M_{i-1}, P).$$

Observe, the symmetric enveloping inclusions $P \vee P^{\mathrm{op}} \subset P \boxtimes_{e_Q} P^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $P \vee P^{\mathrm{op}} \subset P \boxtimes_{e_{M_{i-1}}} P^{\mathrm{op}}$ are isomorphic by Lemma 4.2. Therefore,

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(Q, P) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(M_{i-1}, P) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M).$$

□

Theorem 4.4. *Consider two finite groups that act outerly on a II_1 factor, $\sigma : H_1 \longrightarrow Aut(P)$ and $\rho : H_2 \longrightarrow Aut(P)$. Denote by G the subgroup of $Out(P)$ generated by $\sigma(H_1)$ and $\rho(H_2)$. Consider the Bisch-Haagerup subfactor $P^{H_1} \subset P \rtimes H_2$, where P^{H_1} denotes the elements of P that are fixed under the action of H_1. Then $\Lambda_{cb}(P^{H_1}, P \rtimes H_2) = \Lambda_{cb}(G)$.*

Proof. We follow the proof of [6, Proposition 2.7]. We write $N = P^{H_1}$ and $M = P \rtimes H_2$. Let P_{-1} and P_1 be II_1 factors such that $P_{-1} \subset N \subset P$ and $P \subset M \subset P_1$ are basic constructions such that $M_{-2} \subset P_{-1}$. By Corollary 4.3, we have $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(P_{-1}, P_1)$. By [6, Proposition 2.7], we know that $P_{-1} \subset P_1$ is isomorphic to the inclusion

$$P_{-1} \subset P_{-1} \otimes B(\ell^2(H_1)) \otimes B(\ell^2(H_2)),\ x \longmapsto \sum_{h \in H_1, k \in H_2} \rho_k \circ \sigma_h(x) f_{hh} e_{kk},$$

where $\{f_{ij},\ i, j \in H_1\}$ and $\{e_{ij},\ i, j \in H_2\}$ are system of matrix units for $B(\ell^2(H_1))$ and $B(\ell^2(H_2))$. Hence, $P_{-1} \subset P_1$ is isomorphic to a diagonal subfactor associated to an outer action of the group G. By Corollary 3.2, we obtain $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(G)$. □

5. Free product

Let $(\mathcal{G}_\alpha,\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A})$ be a finite family of amenable standard invariants. In this section, we prove that the free product in the sense of Bisch and Jones of the $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ is a weakly amenable standard invariant with constant 1 [4, 3].

Bisch and Jones introduced in [4, 3] the free product of standard invariants and subfactors. We recall the principal properties of this construction. Let $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ be standard invariants. The free product of $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ is a standard invariant denoted by $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{G}_1 * \mathcal{G}_2$. There exists a chain of II_1 factors $N \subset P \subset M$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}_{N,P} \simeq \mathcal{G}_1,\ \mathcal{G}_{P,M} \simeq \mathcal{G}_2,\ \text{and } \mathcal{G}_{N,M} \simeq \mathcal{G}_1 * \mathcal{G}_2. \tag{5}$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_1 = \langle {}_P L^2(P) \otimes_N L^2(P)_P \rangle$ be the category of P-bimodules generated by the bimodule $L^2(P) \otimes_N L^2(P)$. Consider the category $\mathcal{C}_2 = \langle {}_M L^2(M)_M \rangle$. We have that $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ are in free position inside the category of all P-bimodules $\mathrm{Bimod}(P)$. In particular, if $a_1, \cdots, a_n$ are irreducible P-bimodules of $\mathcal{C}_1$ that are different from $L^2(P)$, and if $b_1, \cdots, b_n$ are irreducible P-bimodules of $\mathcal{C}_2$ that are different from $L^2(P)$, then $a_1 b_1 \cdots a_n b_n$ is an irreducible P-bimodule.

We fix two standard invariants $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$, and a chain of II_1 factors $N \subset P \subset M$ that satisfies (5). By definition, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M)$. Consider a downward basic construction

$$M_{-2} \subset N \subset M.$$

By Corollary 4.3,

$$\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(M_{-2}, P) = \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(N, M).$$

Observe, the bimodule category

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle {}_P L^2(P) \otimes_{M_{-2}} L^2(P)_P \rangle$$

is generated by $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$.

Let $(\mathcal{G}_\alpha,\ \alpha\in\mathcal{A})$ be a finite family of standard invariants. By iteration of the process described above, we define a free product of those standard invariants $\mathcal{G}:=*_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. It satisfies the following properties: There exists a subfactor $N\subset M$, a family of subfactors $(N_\alpha\subset M_\alpha,\ \alpha\in\mathcal{A})$ and a family of categories $(\mathcal{C}_\alpha,\ \alpha\in\mathcal{A})$ such that

(1) $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{G})=\Lambda_{\rm cb}(N,M)$,

(2) $\mathcal{G}_{N_\alpha,M_\alpha}\simeq\mathcal{G}_\alpha$,

(3) $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is a subcategory of ${\rm Bimod}(M)$ and is equivalent to the category of M_α-bimodules
$$\langle {}_{M_\alpha}L^2(M_\alpha)\otimes_{N_\alpha}L^2(M_\alpha)_{M_\alpha}\rangle,$$
(4) the categories $(\mathcal{C}_\alpha,\ \alpha\in\mathcal{A})$ are in free position inside ${\rm Bimod}(M)$, and
(5) the category $\mathcal{C}:=\langle {}_ML^2(M)\otimes_NL^2(M)_M\rangle$ is generated by the subcategories $(\mathcal{C}_\alpha,\ \alpha\in\mathcal{A})$.

We will show that the symmetric enveloping inclusion $T\subset S$ associated to $N\subset M$ is isomorphic to an amalgamated free product over T. For this purpose, we recall a construction due to Masuda that is an extension of the Longo-Rehren construction for infinite depth subfactors of type ${\rm II}_1$ [42, 41].

Consider a subfactor $N\subset M$. We put $A=M\overline{\otimes}M^{\rm op}$. Let $\{H_k,\ k\in K\}$ be a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of irreducible M-bimodules that appear in the Jones tower of $N\subset M$. Denote by $B_k:=H_k\otimes\overline{H_k}^{\rm op}$ the associated A-bimodule and by X their orthogonal direct sum $\bigoplus_{k\in K}B_k$. For any $i,j,k\in K$, we denote by N_{ij}^k the dimension of the space of intertwiners from $B_i\otimes_AB_j$ into B_k,
$$\text{i.e. } N_{ij}^k:=\dim Hom_{A-A}(B_i\otimes_AB_j,B_k).$$
Let d_k be the square root of the index of M inside the right M-modular morphisms of $B(H_k)$, $d_k:=\sqrt{[End_{-M}(H_k):M]}$, and let $\{V_{ijk}^e,\ e=1,\cdots,N_{ij}^k\}$ be a choice of an orthonormal basis of the space of intertwiners $Hom_{A-A}(B_i\otimes_AB_j,B_k)$, for any $i,j,k\in K$. Define
$$\tilde{V}_{ijk}:=\sum_{e=1}^{N_{ij}^k}\sqrt{\frac{d_id_j}{d_k}}V_{ijk}^e\otimes\overline{V_{ijk}^e}^{\rm op},\ \text{for any } i,j,k\in K.$$
Consider a bounded vector $\xi\in B_i^{\rm bdd}$ and a vector $\eta\in B_j$. We define
$$\lambda_\xi(\eta):=\sum_{k\in K}\tilde{V}_{ijk}(\xi\otimes\eta).$$
By [42, Lemma 2.2], λ_ξ extends to a bounded linear map from X to X that we continue to denote by λ_ξ. Denote by $A(K)$ the von Neumann subalgebra of $B(X)$ generated by the set
$$\{\lambda_\xi,\ \xi\in B_k^{\rm bdd},\ k\in K\}.$$
By [42, Theorem 3.4], $A\subset A(K)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric enveloping inclusion $T\subset S$ associated to the subfactor $N\subset M$.

Let $\mathcal{G}=*_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ be the free product of a finite family of extremal standard invariants. This is still an extremal standard invariant. Denote by $N\subset M$ the subfactor described above that satisfies $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(N,M)=\Lambda_{\rm cb}(\mathcal{G})$. Let K be the index set of the even vertices of the dual principal graph of $N\subset M$ and $\{H_k,\ k\in K\}$, the associated set of irreducible M-bimodules. For any $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$, let $K_\alpha\subset K$ be the set of indices corresponding to the irreducible M-bimodules of the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$. Observe, K is the set of finite words with letter in the different $K_\alpha,\ \alpha\in\mathcal{A}$. Let $A\subset A(K)$ be the inclusion defined by Masuda and let $A(K_\alpha)$ be the von Neumann subalgebra of $A(K)$ generated by the set
$$\{\lambda_\xi,\ \xi\in B_k^{\rm bdd},\ k\in K_\alpha\}.$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a unique normal trace-preserving conditional expectation $E_A^{A(K_\alpha)} : A(K_\alpha) \longrightarrow A$. By construction and [42, Theorem 3.4], we have that $A \subset A(K_\alpha)$ is isomorphic to a symmetric enveloping inclusion associated to a subfactor of M with standard invariant isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Consider the free product of the $A(K_\alpha), \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ with amalgamation over A with respect to the conditional expectations $E_A^{A(K_\alpha)}$, see [16, section 3.8]. We denote this von Neumann algebra by $*_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}(A(K_\alpha),\ E_A^{A(K_\alpha)})$. There is a canonical inclusion

$$A \subset *_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}(A(K_\alpha),\ E_A^{A(K_\alpha)}).$$

Proposition 5.1. *The two inclusions $A \subset A(K)$ and $A \subset *_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}(A(K_\alpha),\ E_A^{A(K_\alpha)})$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. One can see that the family von Neumann subalgebras $(A(K_\alpha), \alpha \in \mathcal{A})$ generates $A(K)$ as a von Neumann algebra. Hence it is sufficient to show that they are free with amalgamation over A. Let D be the $*$-subalgebra of $B(X)$ generated by the set $\{\lambda_\xi,\ \xi \in B_k^{\rm bdd},\ k \in K\}$. Similarly, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, we define the $*$-subalgebra $D_\alpha \subset B(X)$ which is generated by the set $\{\lambda_\xi,\ \xi \in B_k^{\rm bdd},\ k \in K_\alpha\}$. The $*$-subalgebra D_α is weakly dense in $A(K_\alpha)$. By [16, Proposition 2.5.7], adapted in the amalgamated case, it is sufficient to prove that the $D_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ are free with amalgamation over A. Consider $\xi \in B_i^{\rm bdd}$ and $\eta \in B_j^{\rm bdd}$ where $i, j \in K$. We have that

$$\lambda_\xi \circ \lambda_\eta = \sum_{k\in K} \lambda_{\xi_k}, \tag{6}$$

where $\xi_k = \tilde{V_{ijk}}(\xi \otimes \eta)$, see [42, Proposition 2.3]. Hence, for any $a \in D$ there exists a unique decomposition $a = \sum_{k\in K} \lambda_{\xi_k}$, such that $\xi_k \in B_k^{\rm bdd}$. This sum is necessarily finite. Denote by $\mathrm{supp}(a)$ the set of $k \in K$ such that $\lambda_{\xi_k} \neq 0$. Consider some indices $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \neq \cdots \neq \alpha_n$. For any $i = 1, \cdots, n$, consider $a_i \in D_{\alpha_i} \ominus A$. Let us show that $E_A(a_1 \cdots a_n) = 0$, where $E_A : A(K) \longrightarrow A$ is the unique normal trace-preserving conditional expectation. We need to show that $\mathrm{supp}(a_1 \cdots a_n)$ does not contain the index of the trivial bimodule $L^2(A)$. But this is an immediate consequence of the equality (6). □

Remark 5.2. Consider the following enlightening examples given by diagonal subfactors. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{1, \cdots, m\}$ and $G_1, \cdots, G_m$ be a family of finitely generated groups. For any α consider a diagonal subfactor $N_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ associated to the group G_α, see the construction of section 3. Denote by $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ its standard invariant and by $\mathcal{G}$ the free product of all the $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Then the inclusion $A \subset A(K)$ considered above is isomorphic to an inclusion in a crossed product $A \subset A \rtimes G$, where $G = G_1 * \cdots * G_m$. The inclusion $A \subset A(K_\alpha)$ is isomorphic to an inclusion in a crossed product $A \subset A \rtimes G_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 5.3. *Consider a finite family of amenable standard invariants $(\mathcal{G}_\alpha,\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A})$. Consider the free product of those standard invariants $\mathcal{G} := *_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Then*

$$\Lambda_{cb}(\mathcal{G}) = 1.$$

Proof. Consider a finite family of amenable standard invariants $(\mathcal{G}_\alpha,\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A})$. For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, let $N_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ be an amenable subfactor with standard invariant $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. By [4, 3], and the discussion at the beginning of this section, there exists a subfactor $N \subset M$ such that

- $\Lambda_{\rm cb}(N, M) = \Lambda_{\rm cb}(*_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{G}_\alpha)$,
- its symmetric enveloping inclusion is isomorphic to $A \subset *_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}(A(K_\alpha),\ E_A^{A(K_\alpha)})$, where $A \subset A(K_\alpha)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric enveloping inclusion of $N_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

By remark 2.6, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a sequence of normal unital completely positive A-bimodular maps $\varphi_l^\alpha : A(K_\alpha) \longrightarrow A(K_\alpha)$ such that the A-bimodule $\varphi_l^\alpha(A(K_\alpha))$ is bifinite, $E_A^{A(K_\alpha)} \circ \varphi_l^\alpha = E_A^{A(K_\alpha)}$, and $\lim_l \|\varphi_l^\alpha(x) - x\|_2 = 0$ for any $x \in A(K_\alpha)$. The restrictions of those maps to A are equal to the identity by Proposition 2.5 and the fact that they are unital. By [9, Theorem 4.8.5], there exists a normal A-bimodular unital completely positive map $\varphi_l : A(K) \longrightarrow A(K)$ for any $l \geqslant 0$ that satisfies

$$\varphi_l(a_1 \cdots a_d) = \varphi_l^{\alpha_1}(a_1) \cdots \varphi_l^{\alpha_d}(a_d) \text{ for any } a_i \in A(K_{\alpha_i}) \text{ such that } \alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \neq \cdots \neq \alpha_d.$$

Following [59], consider the Poisson kernel

$$\mathcal{T}_N := \sum_{d=0}^{N} (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})^d \mathcal{P}_d,$$

where $\mathcal{P}_d$ is the projection from $A(K)$ onto the operator space spanned by the words of length d. By [59, Proposition 3.5] adapted in the amalgamated case as explained in section 5 of the same article, we have that $\mathcal{T}_N$ is normal and completely bounded such that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \|\mathcal{T}_N\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = 1$. We define the map $\phi_{N,l} := \varphi_l \circ \mathcal{T}_N$. This a normal A-bimodular completely bounded map such that the A-bimodule $\phi_{N,l}(A(K))$ is bifinite. Further, we have $\limsup_{N,l} \|\phi_{N,l}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = 1$. In order to conclude, we need to show that

$$\lim_{N,l} \|\phi_{N,l}(x) - x\|_2 = 0 \text{ for any } x \in A(K). \tag{7}$$

Let $C_{N,l}$ (resp. c_l^α) be the scalar valued function associated to $\phi_{N,l}$ (resp. φ_l^α) for any $N, l \geqslant 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Consider an element $k \in K$. The set K is equal to the set of finite words with alternating letters in the K_α, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, there exists $d \geqslant 0$, $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2 \neq \cdots \neq \alpha_d \in \mathcal{A}$, and $k_1 \in K_{\alpha_1}, \cdots, k_d \in K_{\alpha_d}$ such that $k = k_1 \cdots k_d$. Observe, if $N \geqslant d$ we have that

$$C_{N,l}(k) = (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})^d c_l^{\alpha_1}(k_1) \cdots c_l^{\alpha_d}(k_d).$$

Since the sequence $(\varphi_l^{\alpha_i},\ l \geqslant 0)$ is a CBAI, by Proposition 2.5 we have that $c_l^{\alpha_i}(k_i) \longrightarrow_l 1$ for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$. Therefore, $C_{N,l}(k) \longrightarrow_{N,l} 1$. Hence, by Proposition 2.5, we obtain (7). □

6. Tensor product

Consider a finite family of extremal standard invariants $(\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \{A_{ij}^\alpha,\ i, j \geqslant -1\},\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A})$. One can form their tensor product

$$\bigotimes_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{G}_\alpha = \{\otimes_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} A_{ij}^\alpha,\ i, j \geqslant -1\},$$

which is still an extremal standard invariant. This section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1. *If $(\mathcal{G}_\alpha,\ \alpha \in \mathcal{A})$ is a finite family of (extremal) standard invariants, then*

$$\Lambda_{cb}(\bigotimes_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{G}_\alpha) = \prod_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} \Lambda_{cb}(\mathcal{G}_\alpha).$$

The group case and the von Neumann algebra case have been respectively proved by Cowling and Haagerup [11], and by Sinclair and Smith [60]. We adapt the proof given in [9, Theorem 12.3.13].

Let $T \subset S$ be a symmetric enveloping inclusion and let K be the index set of the irreducible T-bimodules as denoted in the previous sections. Consider some finite subsets $F \subset E \subset K$. Denote by

$$\mathrm{CB}(S, E) := \{\sum_{k\in E} c(k) a_k,\ c(k) \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

the operator space of completely bounded T-bimodular maps $\varphi : S \longrightarrow S$ such that the range of φ is contained in $\sum_{k\in E} L^2(\mathrm{span} TvkT)$, see notations of Proposition 2.5. Consider the free vector space $\mathbb{C}F$ with basis $\{\delta_k,\ k \in F\}$. We have an inclusion of $\mathbb{C}F$ in the topological dual of the (finite dimensional) Banach space $\mathrm{CB}(S, E)$ given by

$$u(\varphi_c) = \sum_{k\in F} u_k c(k),$$

where

$$\varphi_c = \sum_{k\in E} c(k)a_k \in \mathrm{CB}(S, E) \text{ and } u = \sum_{k\in F} u_k\delta_k \in \mathbb{C}F.$$

We identify $\mathbb{C}F$ and its image in the dual space $\mathrm{CB}(S, E)^*$. We write $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{CB}(S,E)^*}$ the norm of the dual space $\mathrm{CB}(S, E)^*$. Here are three key observations. We omit the proof that are straightforward adaptation of the one given in [9].

Lemma 6.2. [9, Lemma 12.3.14 and 12.3.16]

(1) *The inclusion $T \subset S$ admits a CBAI of constant D if and only if for any finite subset $F \subset K$ there exists a finitely supported scalar valued function $c : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $c(k) = 1$ for any $k \in F$ and $\|\varphi_c\|_{cb} \leqslant D$, where $\varphi_c = \sum_{k\in supp(c)} c(k)a_k$.*

(2) *Consider some finite subset $F \subset E \subset K$. There exists a scalar valued function*

$$c : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } supp(c) \subset E,\ \|\varphi_c\|_{cb} \leqslant D, \text{ and } c(k) = 1 \text{ for any } k \in F$$

if and only if

$$\text{for any } u = \sum_{k\in F} u_k\delta_k \in \mathbb{C}F,\ |\sum_{k\in F} u_k| \leqslant D\|u\|_{CB(S,E)^*}.$$

(3) *Let $T_i \subset S_i,\ K_i,\ i = 1, 2$ be symmetric enveloping inclusions together with their index set of irreducible T_i-bimodules. Consider the tensor product $T \subset S = T_1\overline{\otimes}T_2 \subset S_1\overline{\otimes}S_2$ with the index set $K = K_1 \times K_2$. For any $u^i = \sum_{k_i\in F_i} u^i_{k_i} \in \mathbb{C}F_i,\ i = 1, 2$, we define*

$$u = u^1 \times u^2 = \sum_{k_1\in F_1, k_2\in F_2} u^1_{k_1}u^2_{k_2}\delta_{k_1,k_2} \in \mathbb{C}F_1 \times F_2.$$

We have

$$\|u\|_{CB(S,E_1\times E_2)^*} \leqslant \|u^1\|_{CB(S_1,E_1)^*}\|u^2\|_{CB(S_2,E_2)^*}.$$

Proof of theorem 6.1. By induction, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for the tensor product of two standard invariants. Let $N_i \subset M_i$ be a subfactor with standard invariant $\mathcal{G}_i$, and let $T_i \subset S_i$, K_i be their symmetric enveloping inclusion and index set of irreducible T_i-bimodules for $i = 1, 2$. We consider the subfactor $N \subset M$ equals to $N_1\overline{\otimes}N_2 \subset M_1\overline{\otimes}M_2$. Its standard invariant, symmetric enveloping inclusion, and index set of irreducible bimodules are isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_1\otimes\mathcal{G}_2$, $T_1\overline{\otimes}T_2 \subset S_1\overline{\otimes}S_2$, and $K_1\times K_2$ respectively. We denote them by $\mathcal{G}$, $T \subset S$, and K. By considering tensor product of completely bounded maps we get that $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}) \leqslant \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}_1)\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}_2)$.

Let us show that $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}) \geqslant \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}_1)\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}_2)$. Suppose $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}) < \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}_1)\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}_2)$. There exists some constants $D_i < \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}_i),\ i = 1, 2$ such that $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}) < D_1D_2$. By Lemma 6.2.1 applied to $T_i \subset S_i$ and $D_i,\ i = 1, 2$, there exists finite subsets $F_i \subset K_i$ such that there are no finitely supported scalar valued functions $c_i : K_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that $c_i(k_i) = 1$ for any $k_i \in F_i$ and $\|\varphi_{c_i}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leqslant D_i$. By Lemma 6.2.1 applied to $F_1 \times F_2 \subset K$ and D_1D_2, there exists a finitely supported scalar valued function $c : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $c(k) = 1$ for any $k \in F_1 \times F_2$, and

$\|\varphi_c\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leqslant D_1D_2$. The support of c is finite, hence there exists finite sets $F_i \subset E_i \subset K_i,\ i = 1, 2$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(c) \subset E_1 \times E_2$. By Lemma 6.2.2, there exists

$$u^i = \sum_{k_i \in F_i} u^i_{k_i} \in \mathbb{C}F_i,$$

such that

$$\|u^i\|_{\mathrm{CB}(S_i,E_i)^*} = 1 \text{ and } |\sum_{k_i \in F_i} u^i_{k_i}| > D_i, \text{ for } i = 1, 2. \tag{8}$$

Consider the element $u = u^1 \times u^2 = \sum_{k_1 \in F_1, k_2 \in F_2} u^1_{k_1} u^2_{k_2} \delta_{k_1,k_2} \in \mathbb{C}F_1 \times F_2$. By Lemma 6.2.3, we have $\|u\|_{\mathrm{CB}(S,E_1\times E_2)^*} \leqslant 1$. However,

$$|\sum_{k_1 \in F_1, k_2 \in F_2} u^1_{k_1} u^2_{k_2}| = |\sum_{k_1 \in F_1} u^1_{k_1}||\sum_{k_2 \in F_2} u^2_{k_2}| > D_1D_2 \text{ by (8)}.$$

This contradict Lemma 6.2.2 applied to u and D_1D_2. Therefore, $\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}) \geqslant \Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}_1)\Lambda_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{G}_2)$. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 6.3. Using Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 6.1, we can construct many hyperfinite subfactors $R_0 \subset R$ that are weakly amenable with given Cowling-Haagerup constant. Here is a specific construction.

Consider a finite family of exotic amenable standard invariants $(\mathcal{G}_\alpha,\ \in \mathcal{A})$ such as the Haagerup standard invariant [27], the Asaeda-Haagerup standard invariant [1] or a Temperley-Lieb-Jones standard invariant with index smaller or equal to 4. Let $\mathcal{G}_1$ be their free product. By Popa, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a hyperfinite subfactor with standard invariant equal to $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ [49]. By the freeness result of Vaes and the construction of Bisch and Jones, there exists a subfactor $N_1 \subset M_1$ with standard invariant $\mathcal{G}_1$ where M_1 is the hyperfinite II_1 factor [61, 4, 3]. Consider a lattice G in the Lie group $Sp(n, 1)$ with $n \geqslant 2$. Consider a diagonal subfactor $N_2 \subset M_2$ associated to an outer action of G on the hyperfinite II_1 factor. Then, the tensor product subfactor $N_1\overline{\otimes}N_2 \subset M_1\overline{\otimes}M_2$ is a hyperfinite subfactor with Cowling-Haagerup constant equal to $2n - 1$ by [11], Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 6.1.

References

[1] M. Asaeda and U. Haagerup. Exotic subfactors of finite depth with Jones indices $(5+\sqrt{13})/2$ and $(5+\sqrt{17})/2$. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 202:1–63, 1999.

[2] D. Bisch and U. Haagerup. Composition of subfactors: new examples of infinite depth subfactors. *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 29:329–383, 1996.

[3] D. Bisch and V.F.R. Jones. Free product of planar algebras, and subfactors. *in preparation*.

[4] D. Bisch and V.F.R. Jones. Algebras associated to intermediate subfactors. *Invent. Math.*, 128:89–157, 1997.

[5] D. Bisch, R. Nicoara, and S. Popa. Continuous families of hyperfinite subfactors with the same standard invariant. *Internat. J. Math.*, 18(3):255–267, 2007.

[6] D. Bisch and S. Popa. Examples of subfactors with property T standard invariant. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 9:215–225, 1999.

[7] M. Boszejko and M.A. Picardello. Weakly amenable groups and amalgamated products. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, pages 1039–1046, 1993.

[8] A. Brothier and S. Vaes. Hyperfinite subfactors with prescribed fundamental group. *to appear in J. Noncommut. Geom., arXiv:1309.5354*.

[9] N.P. Brown and N. Ozawa. C^*-algebras and finite-dimensional approximations. *Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence*, 88, 2008.

[10] A. Connes. Classification of injective factors. *Ann. of Math.*, 104(2):73–115, 1976.

[11] M. Cowling and U. Haagerup. Completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one. *Invent. Math.*, 96:507–549, 1989.

[12] S. Curran, V.F.R. Jones, and D. Shlyakhtenko. On the symmetric enveloping algebra of planar algebra subfactors. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*, 366(1):113–133, 2014.

[13] J. de Cannière and U. Haagerup. Multipliers of the Fourier algebras of some simple Lie groups and their discrete subgroups. *Amer. J. Math.*, 107(2):455–500, 1985.

[14] K. De Commer, A. Freslon, and M. Yamashita. CCAP for universal discrete quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 331:677–701, 2014.
[15] V.G. Drinfel'd. Quantum groups. *Prodeedings of the ICM*, pages 789–820, 1986.
[16] K.J. Dykema, A Nica, and D.V. Voiculescu. *Free random variables*. CRM, 1992.
[17] D. Evans and Y. Kawahigashi. Subfactors and conformal field theory. *Math. Phys. Stud.*, 16, 1992.
[18] D. Evans and Y. Kawahigashi. Quantum symmetries on operator algebras. *Oxford University Press*, page 848, 1998.
[19] S. Falguières. Outer automorphism groups and bimodule categories of type II_1 factors. *PhD thesis, KU Leuven*, 2009.
[20] A. Freslon. A note on weak amenability for free products of discrete quantum groups. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 350:403–406, 2012.
[21] A. Freslon. Examples of weakly amenable discrete quantum groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 265(9):2164–2187, 2013.
[22] E. Guentner and N. Higson. Weak amenability of CAT(0)-cubical groups. *Geom. Dedicata*, 148:137–156, 2010.
[23] A. Guionnet, V.F.R. Jones, and D. Shlyakhtenko. Random matrices, free probability, planar algebras and subfactor. *Quanta of maths: Non-commutative Geometry Conference in Honor of Alain Connes, in Clay Math. Proc.*, 11:201–240, 2010.
[24] A. Guionnet, V.F.R. Jones, and D. Shlyakhtenko. A semi-finite algebra associated to a planar algebra. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 261(5):1345–1360, 2011.
[25] U. Haagerup. Group C^*-algebras without the completely bounded approximation property. *Preprint*.
[26] U. Haagerup. An example of a non nuclear C^*-algebra, which has the metric approximation property. *Invent. Math.*, 50:279–293, 1979.
[27] U. Haagerup. Principal graphs of subfactors in the index range $4 < 3 + \sqrt{2}$. *in Subfactors-Proceedings of the Taniguchi Symposium, Katata (World Scienfific)*, pages 1–38, 1994.
[28] M. Hartglass. Free product von Neumann algebras associated to graphs, and Guionnet, Jones, Shlyakhtenko subfactors in infinite depth. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256(12):3305–3324, 2013.
[29] F. Hiai and M. Izumi. Amenability and strong amenability for fusion algebras with applications to subfactor theory. *Intern. J. of Math.*, 9(6):669–722, 1998.
[30] V.F.R. Jones. Planar algebras I. *Preprint. arXiv:9909027*.
[31] V.F.R. Jones. Actions of finite groups on the hyperfinite type II_1 factor. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 237, 1980.
[32] V.F.R. Jones. Index for subfactors. *Invent. Math*, 72:1–25, 1983.
[33] V.F.R. Jones. A polynomial invariant for knots via von Neumann algebras. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12:103–112, 1985.
[34] V.F.R. Jones. Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials. *Ann. of Math.*, 126(2):335–388, 1987.
[35] V.F.R. Jones. On knot invariants related to some statistical mechanical models. *Pacific J. Math.*, 137:211–388, 1989.
[36] V.F.R. Jones, S. Morrison, and N. Snyder. The classification of subfactors of index at most 5. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51:277–327, 2014.
[37] V.F.R. Jones, D. Shlyakhtenko, and K. Walker. An orthogonal approach to the subfactor of a planar algebra. *Pacific J. Math.*, 246:187–197, 2010.
[38] M. Junge, M. Neufang, and Z-J. Ruan. A representation theorem for locally compact quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.*, 20:377–400, 2009.
[39] V. Kodiyalam and V.S Sunder. On the guionnet-jones-shlyakhtenko construction for graphs. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 260(9):2635–2673, 2011.
[40] J. Kraus and Z-J. Ruan. Approximation properties for Kac algebras. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 48(2):469–535, 1999.
[41] R. Longo and K. Rehren. Nets of subfactors. *Rev. Math. Phys.*, 7:567–597, 1995.
[42] T. Masuda. Generalization of longo-rehren construction to subfactors of infinite depth and amenability of fusion algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 171:53–77, 2000.
[43] A. Ocneanu. Quantized groups, string algebras and Galois theory for algebras. *Operator algebras and applications, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, 136:119–172, 1988.
[44] N. Ozawa. Weak amenability of hyperbolic groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 2:271–280, 2008.
[45] N. Ozawa. Examples of groups which are not weakly amenable. *Kyoto J. Math.*, 52(2):333–344, 2012.
[46] N. Ozawa and S. Popa. On a class of II_1 factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra. *Ann. Math.*, 172(2):713–749, 2010.
[47] M. Pimsner and S. Popa. Entropy and index for subfactors. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 19:57–106, 1986.
[48] S. Popa. Markov traces on universal Jones algebras and subfactors of finite index. *Invent. Math.*, 111:375–405, 1993.

[49] S. Popa. Classification of amenable subfactors of type II. *Acta. Math.*, 172:163–255, 1994.
[50] S. Popa. Classification of subfactors and of their endomorphisms. *CBMS Lecture Notes Series*, 1994.
[51] S. Popa. Symmetric enveloping algebras, amenability and AFD properties for subfactors. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 1:409–425, 1994.
[52] S. Popa. An axiomatization of the lattice of higher relative commutants of a subfactor. *Invent. Math.*, 120(3):427–445, 1995.
[53] S. Popa. Free independent sequences in type II_1 factors and related problems. *Astérisque*, 232:187–202, 1995.
[54] S. Popa. Some properties of the symmetric enveloping algebra of a subfactor, with applications to amenability and property T. *Doc. Math.*, 4:665–744, 1999.
[55] S. Popa. Universal construction of subfactors. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 543:39–81, 2002.
[56] S. Popa. On a class of type II_1 factors with Betti numbers invariants. *Ann. of Math.*, 163:809–889, 2006.
[57] S. Popa. Deformation and rigidity for group actions and von Neumann algebras. *Proceedings of the ICM*, I:445–477, 2007.
[58] S. Popa and D. Shlyakhtenko. Universal properties of $L(F_\infty)$ in subfactor theory. *Acta. Math.*, 191:225–257, 2003.
[59] E. Ricard and Q. Xu. Khintchine type inequalities for reduced free products and applications. *J. Reine. Angew.*, 599:27–59, 2006.
[60] A.M. Sinclair and R.R. Smith. The Haagerup invariant for von Neumann algebras. *Am. J. Math*, 117:441–456, 1995.
[61] S. Vaes. Factors of type II_1 without non-trivial finite index subfactors. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 361:2587–2606, 2009.